Diagnostic value of performing endocervical curettage at the time of colposcopy procedure; a systematic review
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ARTICLE INFO

Introduction: The importance of high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) as a precursor to invasive cervical cancer suggests a considerable need for accurate screening of the patients for the risk of these lesions. This systematic review aimed to study the diagnostic significance of endocervical curettage (ECC) at the time of colposcopy in the detection of CIN 2, 3, and preinvasive lesions.

Methods: PubMed was searched to obtain the relevant articles based on the following search term: (endocervical curettage OR ECC) AND colposcopy. The most relevant articles were included after studying the title, abstract, and full text of the obtained articles at initial search. Only English language articles published after 1992 with at least 500 patients were included in this study.

Result: Among 300 articles identified by the first search, only seven articles were in line with the purpose of this systematic review. Majority of the included studies were retrospective observational studies.

Conclusion: Performing ECC has higher sensitivity in women older than 40 years and those with unsatisfactory colposcopy results. However exact diagnostic usefulness of ECC at the time of colposcopy needs to be investigated in further studies.


Introduction

Due to the importance of high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN), as a precursor to invasive cervical cancer, it is vital to accurately screen patients for the risk of these lesions. Based on gynecology guidelines, colposcopy examinations are performed in women with abnormal cervical cytology during screening protocols for cervical cancer to evaluate the cervical histopathology. Colposcopy is associated with pain, bleeding, physical, and mental distress (1).

Endocervical curettage (ECC) which uses circumferential scraping of the endocervical canal with a curette, reveals the presence of hidden CIN; it is also proposed as a diagnostic method which might increase the accuracy and sensitivity of colposcopy results, especially in older patients (2).

The advantages of using ECC has been a controversial issue for almost 50 years. An evidence-based guideline regarding the performance of ECC at the time of colonoscopy can improve diagnostic strategies in patients with cervical malignancy at different stages.

Some physicians perform both colposcopy and ECC to increase the accuracy of diagnosis and to...
prevent missing any preinvasive lesions in endocervical canal, even in patients with normal colposcopy results. However, ECC is recommended only for patients with positive colposcopy results; otherwise, it is an unnecessary procedure which is associated with minimal advantages in all patients. Performing ECC is not cost-effective and might be associated with some disadvantages such as difficult pathological interpretation, adequate specimen, and complications such as hypotensive attack, and syncope. According to literature, performing ECC is not recommended for patients with specific features including adolescents, immunocompromised patients, and pregnant women (3).

In this systematic review, we study the advantages of ECC at the time of colposcopy in the diagnosis of high-grade CIN by detecting dysplastic lesion in women with atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US) that could not be visualized in colposcopy.

Methods

Literature search strategy

PubMed was used as the database for retrieving articles regarding the efficacy of ECC at the time of colposcopy. The following search term was used to obtain articles based on the purpose of this review: (endocervical curettage OR ECC) AND colposcopy. The last search was done on 1 January 2015. Title and abstract of the articles were screened to exclude the irrelevant articles obtained at initial search. Full text of the remaining articles studied for extracting the appropriate data. The reference lists of the included articles were searched to avoid missing any relevant article.

Low-grade intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) and ASC-US were characteristics of patients studied in the included articles. Colposcopy satisfactory was used when entire squamocolumnar junction and the margin of any visible lesion could be visualized with colposcope. Unsatisfactory colposcopy was used when squamocolumnar junction could not be visualized.

Selection criteria

Inclusion criteria were all types of studies which investigated the efficacy of performing ECC during colposcopy-directed biopsy procedure on at least 500 patients who were candidate for cervical excisional biopsy. CIN 2 is regarded as a clinically relevant endpoint and indicator of high risk population that need specific intense treatments. The last systematic review on this topic was conducted in 1992, thus we included only English language articles published after 1992. Exclusion criteria were studies before 1992, and those consist of a sample size of less than 500 patients.

Extracted data

Data regarding the author name, publication year, patients’ age, number of lesions detected by colposcopy and ECC were extracted from each article.

Data synthesis

Results were prepared based on comparing the diagnostic accuracy of ECC compared with colposcopy procedure.

Results

This systematic review consisted of seven articles. The provided flowchart shows the pattern of excluding irrelevant articles to the study purpose (Figure 1).

Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of the study

Majority of the included studies were retrospective observational studies. Only one randomized trial was conducted on this subject in 2007 by Solomon et al. (2). Data regarding the number of lesions identified by ECC compared with colposcopy in each of the included studies are summarized in Table 1.

Discussion

Several factors can lead to the clinicians’ decision for performing ECC, including patients’ older age, severe cytological symptoms, and colposcopy results. In one recent study, performing ECC at the time of colposcopy was suggested to be cost-effective and beneficial in reducing the number of cancer-related deaths on women over 50 years old compared to patients less than 50 years old (10). The association between patients’ age and the incidence of CIN 2 during the ECC has been studied in some of the included articles (2,4,6,8). According to the studies, the incidence of endocervical canal abnormalities detected through ECC was
**Table 1. Quality assessment of the included study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age (years) CIN 2/total CIN 2</th>
<th>Colposcopy results</th>
<th>ECC results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goksedef</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>N: 846 for ECC</td>
<td>By ECC 21-30 (3/38) 31-40 (14/38) 41-50 (12/38) &gt;50 (9/38)</td>
<td>Satisfactory col: 419 (49.5%) Normal Col: 238/419 Abnormal: 181/419 Unsatisfactory col: 427 Normal Col: 58.3% Abnormal Col: 41.7%</td>
<td>Only in ECC. CIN: 2: 18.4% of normal satisfactory Col and normal unsatisfactory Col Normal: 9.6% of abnormal satisfactory Col Normal: 75.4% of abnormal unsatisfactory Col</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gage</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>N: 13,115 col and ECC</td>
<td>Satisfactory Col 16-45 (1559/1722) 46-96 (163/1722)</td>
<td>CIN 2 or cancer: 1797/13115 only Col</td>
<td>Only in ECC: CIN: 2: 0.7% CIN: 3: 0.3% Cancer: 0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>N: 1,119 col and ECC &lt;40y: 888</td>
<td>&lt;40 (7/312) for ECC &gt;40 (3/23) for ECC</td>
<td>CIN 2 only in Col 212/1119</td>
<td>Only in ECC: CIN: 2: 10/1119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massad</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>N: 2287 ECC and Col</td>
<td>Women with ECC were older than Col (mean age: 39 versus 33)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>ECC in 27% of unsatisfactory Col 12% of satisfactory Col 51% of Col/CIN 2-3 25.6% of Col/CIN negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moniak</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>N: 2126 ECC and Col</td>
<td>Increased abnormal ECC with increasing age</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Negative: 1849 (87%) Abnormal: 33% with unsatisfactory Col Abnormal: 10% with satisfactory Col Abnormal: 21% with negative biopsy Abnormal: 42% not performing biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretorius</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>N: 4635 Col and ECC</td>
<td>CIN 3 by ECC: 25-34: 4/111 (3.6) 34-44: 5/92 (5.4) 45-54: 5/38 (13.2) &gt;55: 3/18 (16.7)</td>
<td>CIN 3: 274 (5.9%) Invasive: 21 (0.5%)</td>
<td>Only in ECC: CIN 3: 5.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1ECC: endocervical curettage; N: number; Col: colposcopy; CIN: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.

Not significantly different between patients at different aging groups. Solomon et al. proposed that performing ECC would increase the sensitivity of CIN 2 diagnosis in patients ≥40 years old compared with younger patients (7/653). Unlike ECC, biopsy has higher sensitivity in patients younger than 40 years old compared to older women (2). Based on American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology, ECC is preferred to be conducted in patients with low-grade cytological and satisfactory colposcopy findings or those with high-grade cytological and unsatisfactory results (11).
The exact technique used for performing ECC and circumferential scraping of the endocervical canal was not described in most of the included studies. In some studies, unsatisfactory colposcopy results were associated with abnormal ECC results. Therefore, colposcopy was regarded as a predictor of poor ECC outcomes. In these studies, ECC was suggested to be performed in order to increase the diagnostic accuracy of colposcopy, especially in detecting preinvasive and invasive lesions missed by colposcopy. Several studies proposed the ECC as an integral part of colposcopy procedure which should be performed at the time of colposcopy to increase the accuracy and sensitivity of the results; these studies could not be included in our systematic review due to their low sample size (12-15). In the study of Moniak et al., ECC led to the detection of the diseased missed through routine colposcopy and biopsy (8).

A previous systematic review and meta-analysis performed in 1992 investigated the association of ECC with the diagnostic accuracy of colposcopy procedure. In this regard, they suggested limit clinical efficacy of ECC implication at the time of colposcopy. They revealed positive results of ECC in 31% patients with unsatisfactory colposcopies. It was also proposed that the diagnostic accuracy of satisfactory colposcopy was not dependent on ECC. According to the results obtained through that study, applying ECC increased the diagnostic rate of invasive carcinoma lesions; however, this increased diagnostic accuracy was not significantly important (16).

Performing ECC at the time of colonoscopy is a debating subject which has not been studied in large clinical trials or prospective studies, and there is not enough evidence regarding the value of ECC in the detection of CIN or invasive lesions in patients with satisfactory colposcopy results. In the following studies, unsatisfactory colposcopy results could not be considered as predictive factor of poor ECC outcomes (7,17,18). This means that ECC cannot significantly increase the accuracy of colposcopy and it is not significantly related with the increased detection rate of invasive lesions.

In the study of Massad and Collins, the exact data regarding the colposcopy results could not be extracted, however, omitting the ECC procedure in patients with satisfactory colposcopy or normal colposcopy impression did not result in missing patients with cancer. According to their study, ECC findings led to the alternation of the treatments in only 105 out of 2287 patients on colposcopy (4.6%). This was higher than the obtained result by Solomon et al. that revealed 3% higher sensitivity of ECC in patients under colposcopy. The authors revealed a positive relation between advanced age and positive results of ECC (2,7).

According to studies, difference between two methods results were not statistically significant, however ECC could reveal the presence of high grade endocervical dysplasia in women with satisfactory colposcopy. Thus, it is proposed that ECC is associated with the identification of small number of patients with high grade endocervical dysplasia (CIN 2-3) in patients with satisfactory colposcopy results (4,6,17,19). Results obtained by these mentioned studies came to an agreement that performing ECC as a routine procedure was not necessary for all patients and it would better to be used only in patients with unsatisfactory colposcopy results who are suspected for high grade lesion on colposcopy findings. Moreover, it has been proposed that ECC was associated with lower usefulness in revealing additional CIN 2 or worse lesions in women with ASC-US or LSIL smear results, and normal colposcopy findings.

According to the studies, ECC is a blind procedure which is associated with some drawbacks in patients, including patients’ distress, low quality, inadequate sample, and the possibility of contamination with ectocervical lesion (20).

**Conclusion**

Performing ECC in patients with ASC-US, AGUS or LSIL cytology, younger than 45 years old, and those with satisfactory colposcopy results would have the lowest beneficial effects, compared with those older than 45 years old with unsatisfactory outcomes. Applying ECC in patients with unsatisfactory colposcopy would increase the diagnostic accuracy of invasive disease, however there is still debate regarding the value of performing ECC at the time of colposcopy which needs further studies.
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